# LIVINGSTON COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION LIVINGSTON COUNTY HISTORIC COURTHOUSE 112 W. MADISON ST., PONTIAC, ILLINOIS 61764

## **VARIATIONS**

# **APPLICANT FILING PROCEDURES**

- 1. Complete the original application and any required attachments. Attachments are considered a part of the application.
- 2. File the application in the office of the Livingston County Regional Planning Commission, accompanied with the required fee. The Commission shall assign a case number to the application.
- 3. All fees shall be payable to the General Fund of Livingston County.
- 4. The Livingston County Regional Planning Commission shall advertise the notice of public hearing for each case to be held before the Zoning Board of Appeals.
- 5. The Livingston County Regional Planning Commission shall serve notice to the applicant and owners or occupants of property abutting the affected area not less than five (5) days prior to the hearing advising the location and nature of the subject matter contained in the application and the date, time and place of the hearing.
- 6. The applicant shall be billed by the Livingston County Regional Planning Commission for the cost of the required notice of public hearing. No final action shall be taken on any case until the cost of advertising the required notice of public hearing has been paid.

\_\_\_\_

You may refer to the sheet that is part of the application package that refers to the example of fees that provides you with more information on the potential costs of proceeding with this property development.

# LIVINGSTON COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION LIVINGSTON COUNTY HISTORIC COURTHOUSE

112 W. Madison St., Pontiac, Illinois 61764

## APPLICATION FOR VARIATION -LIVINGSTON COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE

	For Office Use Only	
<u>Applicant</u>		
Name: <u>CPV Prairie Dock Solar, LLC</u>	Filed Date	
Address: 8403 Colesville Road, Suite 915	Fee	~
Silver Spring, MD 20910	Receipt No.	
Phone 781.848.0253	Publication	
	Cost	<u></u>
Owner(s)		
Name See Attachment V-2	Receipt No.	<u></u>
Address	Hearing Date	DATE FILED
	Receipt No.	
Phone	Decision Date	<u></u>
(attach list if necessary)	Approved Denied	
	For Office Use Only	
Legal description of property See A		
Street address Property interest of applicant CPV Prairie		
Property interest of applicant <u>CPV Prairie</u>	Dock Solar LLC has obtained 3	5-year leases from all of
the property owners and proposes to constru	ct and operate a solar farm on t	he leased properties.
Present Use <u>Agricultural</u>	Zoning District	Agricultural
A variation in set back	requirements	s is requested to allow for
TI VALIATION IN BOU CACK	on the above described property.	
Specific distances (if applicable): Livin		1 1 2
non-residential and non-road adjacent parce	-	-
requirement for parcel boundaries internal to		ed to remove this setodek
<u> </u>		Administrator) of the one
Attachment No. 1 - Submit a map drawn to s included in the application and the abutting		
the Zoning Administrator) showing the zon	ning classification: dimensions	and use of all buildings
and/or structures (existing and proposed); dr		
roads; easements; provision for surface drain		
building(s) and/or structure(s) from front, s		
and/or structure(s) from center of public acc		
Additional Attachments - Submit additional	` '	
I (we) certify that all of the information prese	ented above is true to the best or	f my (our) knowledge and
belief.		
Much Luchs		1/07/2022
Applicant (s) Signature (s)	Date	<u>——</u>

# Additional Attachment

# STATEMENT OF APPLICANT- OWNER STATUS

## **APPLICANT**

CPV Prairie Dock Solar LLC 8403 Colesville Road, Suite 915 Silver Spring, MD 20910

#### **OWNERS**

Competitive Power Ventures, Inc. 8403 Colesville Road, Suite 915 Silver Spring, MD 20910 781.848.0253

# APPLICATION EXPLANATION

Project Name: CPV Prairie Dock Solar
Requested Zoning: This request seeks a variance from the setback requirements contained in Section 56-646(f) regarding design standards for solar farms in the Livingston County Code of Ordinances
Explanation and description of request or project: <u>CPV Prairie Dock Solar seeks a variance of the</u>
50-foot setback requirements for 11 lots with boundary lines that are located within and internal to the project area as show on the map attached as Attachment V-1. Each owner of these lots has waived setback
requirements between leased parcels of the same owner. The requested variance does not apply to the
boundary lines of parcels adjacent to non-participating parcels, roads, or residences.

# **EXAMPLES OF FEES FOR VARIATIONS**

Application Filing Fee: \$ 125.00

Publication Fee: Usually between \$40 and \$70. (The exact amount varies according to the length of the notice.)

Location Improvement Permit Fee: \$20.00 - \$100.00+ (The exact amount varies according to the type and size of the improvement.)

Other fees may by applicable, such as fees to the Livingston County Health Department.

#### STANDARDS FOR VARIATIONS

Members of the Zoning Board of Appeals shall require evidence that the proposed Variation Will not:

1. Conflict in any respect with the Livingston County Comprehensive Plan.

Comments: This variance will not conflict with the Comprehensive Plan but is consistent with its direction to preserve farmland.

2. Impair an adequate supply of light and air to adjacent property.

Comments: These are large agricultural properties with residences. The owners have waived the setback requirements between leased parcels under the same owner. The project is working with landowners for similar agreements between parcels.

3. Increase the hazard from fire and other dangers to said property.

Comments: This project will not create fire or other hazards and the waiver of the setback will not increase any risks.

4. Diminish the taxable value of land and buildings in the vicinity and throughout the Jurisdictional Area.

Comments: This variance from the setback requirements will not diminish the taxable value of land and buildings in the vicinity or in the Jurisdictional Area. It will also not diminish the property tax revenue generated by the project. The fair cash value for a commercial solar energy system in Illinois is based on its nameplate capacity per megawatt (35 ILCS 200/10-720 et seq), not the amount of land within the project.

5. Increase or cause congestion in the public streets.

Comments: There are few if any public streets in the subject area and the setback variance will not have any impact on traffic or cause congestion.

6. Otherwise impair the public health, safety, comfort, morals and welfare of the inhabitants of the Jurisdictional Area.

Comments: The setback variance will not in any way impair the health safety comfort morals and welfare of the inhabitants. The landowners of the property subject to the setback variance have all waived the requirement.

7. The plight of the owner is due to unique circumstances.

Comments: The need for the setback variance stems from the desire to keep the

project as compact as possible and not take up more agricultural land than absolutely necessary. The concentration of panels will allow projects of this size to be constructed without using additional farmland, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

8. The variation, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.

Comments: The setback variation will not alter the essential character of the locality.

9. Give the owner or occupant of the property in question privileges not generally held by other property owners or occupants in the same vicinity or district.

Comments: This will not extend any privileges to the owners or occupants of the properties subject to the setback variance.

The Board of Appeals will also consider the following criteria in reviewing the proposed variation.

10. The property in question cannot yield a reasonable return if permitted to be used only under the conditions allowed by the regulations in the district in which it is located.

Comments: The current setback requirements would not allow the Project for which the special use is sought to be constructed in the designed configuration and space. A project of similar generating capacity would require more space.

11. The particular physical surroundings shape or topographical condition of the specific property involved would result in a particular hardship, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of the restrictions were carried out.

Comments: See answer to 10.

12. The purpose of the variation is not based exclusively on a desire to increase the value of the property.

Comments: See answer to 10. In addition the solar ordinance specifically allows these variances if the landowners waive the setback requirements.

13. The alleged difficulty or hardship has not been created by any person presently having an interest in the property.

Comments: The difficulty has not been created by the current landowners.